This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/644,456	08/24/00	HORNIK		V . 21	254-031		
		HM12/1102		EXAMINER			
020582 PENNIE & EDI	MONDS LLÞ			BORIN, M			
1667 K STRE	ET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON :	DC 20006			1631 DATE MAILED:	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/02/01

11

Office Action Summary

Ģ,

Application No. 09/644,456 Applicant(s)

Hornik et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

		Mic	hael Borin	1631	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover	sheet with the corres	spondence addre	SS
Period 1	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	1 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
aft - If the	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.		, , ,	·
- If NO	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b				•
- Any i ea	eply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	Paragraphy to communication/s) filed as				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-fin	al.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	•			e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>		is/are	e pending in the	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	re withdrawn fr	om consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected	to.
	Claims <u>1-28</u>				
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to	by the Examiner.		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)□ approved	b)□ disapprov	ed.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d).	:
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been receiv	ved.		
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been receiv	ved in Application N	No	· •
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure			this National S	tage
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	ne certified co	pies not received.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).	
Attachm	ent(s)				
15) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)	
16) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of	Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	8 Fino (0
17) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	SCRION	co Lu	8 bing Let

Art Unit: 1631

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-28 are currently pending.

It is noted that claims 21,28, which are seemingly intended to be drawn to

methods of use of products of claim 1, are not limited to use of a product of the same

scope as the product of claim 1. For purposes of restriction requirement, the method

claims are considered here as drawn to method of use of products of claim 1.

Applicant is requested to bring method claims in accordance with the product claims;

otherwise, a further restriction requirement will be issued.

Please see the attached NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH SEQUENCE RULES which

sets its own period for response.

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-6 will be examined together with one of the following product groups I-III:

Art Unit: 1631

I. Claims 7-11,15-18, drawn to peptides of formula 1 having a cyclized moiety and their compositions, classified in class 530, subclass 317.

- II. Claims 12,19, drawn to peptides of formula 2 having a cyclized moiety and their compositions, classified in class 530, subclass 317.
- III. Claims 13,20 drawn to peptides of formula & having a cyclized moiety and their compositions, classified in class 530, subclass 317.

Claims 21,28 will be examined with one of the following method groups IV.1-VI.6.

- IV. Claims 22-25 drawn to method of treatment using peptides of formula

 1 having a cyclized moiety, classified in class 530, subclass 317. Group

 IV is further restricted into following groups according to the respective disorders:
 - IV.1 Method of treatment of neoplasms.
 - IV.2 Method of treatment of bacterial infections.
 - IV.3 Method of treatment of parasite infections.
 - IV.4 Method of treatment of viral infections.
 - IV.5 Method of treatment of chronic autoimmune disorders.
 - IV.6 Method of treatment of osteoporosis.

Art Unit: 1631

V. Claims 12,19, drawn to method of treatment using peptides of formula
 2 having a cyclized moiety, classified in class 514, subclass 09. Group
 V is further restricted into following groups according to the respective disorders:

- V.1 Method of treatment of neoplasms.
- V.2 Method of treatment of bacterial infections.
- V.3 Method of treatment of parasite infections.
- V.4 Method of treatment of viral infections.
- V.5 Method of treatment of chronic autoimmune disorders.
- V.6 Method of treatment of osteoporosis.
- VI. Claims 13,20 drawn to method of treatment using peptides of formula 3 having a cyclized moiety, classified in class 514, subclass 09. Group VI is further restricted into following groups according to the respective disorders:
 - VI.1 Method of treatment of neoplasms.
 - VI.2 Method of treatment of bacterial infections.
 - VI.3 Method of treatment of parasite infections.
 - VI.4 Method of treatment of viral infections.

Art Unit: 1631

VI.5 Method of treatment of chronic autoimmune disorders.

VI.6 Method of treatment of osteoporosis.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following

reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other because

of the materially different structures of the compounds they are claiming. The

products of Groups II and III do not require presence of Tyr, as residue equivalent to

R²⁵⁷ in formula 1. Products of Group II and III require different number of backbone

residues (4 and 5, respectively) in the cyclized moiety. These compounds possess

different structure and/or physico-chemical properties, and/or capable of separate

manufacture. A reference teaching products of one group will not teach or suggest

a product of another group.

Methods of use IV.1-IV.6 or V.1-V.6 or VI.1-VI.6 are drawn to methods of

treatment of at least 6 patentably distinct disorder conditions and are patentably

distinct because the disorder conditions are not related to each other, have different

mechanisms of development and etiology, and the methods of treatment have

Art Unit: 1631

different enablement requirements. The groups require different literature search and a reference teaching treatment of one disorder (e.g., osteoporosis) will not teach treatment of any other disorder (e.g., parasite infection or autoimmune disease).

Inventions I and IV.1-IV.6, or II and V.1-V.6, or III and VI.1-VI.6 are related as products and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the methods of use can be practiced with a broad variety of drugs beyond claimed peptides; methods IV.1-IV.6 or V.1-V.6 or VI.1-VI.6 are alternate methods of using the compound of Groups I, II and III, respectively; the products as claimed can be used in a materially different processes such as peptide synthesis.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Species Requirement

Election of species should be required prior to a search on the merits in all applications containing both species claims and generic or Markush claims.(MPEP 808.01(a))

Upon election of any single one of the Groups from above the following election of species is hereby required for the initial search for examination on merits:

The claims of Groups are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of peptides, such as those in claims 7-10, that require a burdensome

Art Unit: 1631

classification, and/or bibliographic, manual and computer search. Accordingly, regardless of which group is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (i.e., a single compound), even though the requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

To be complete, a response to the election of species requirement should include a proper election along with a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. MPEP 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday.

Art Unit: 1631

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached at (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 31, 2001

mlb